SHRI RAJESH PILOT: That would not be the intention of the Home Ministry, Sir. They cooperated with us. And the whole day and night all DCPs, wherever we took them, were cooperating with us, and that is why we could catch the culprits.

श्री सुरेन्द्र जीत सिंह अहलुवालिया: मैंने आपसे पूछा था कि गवाह न होने के कारण इंडियन पीनल कोड के तहत जो धाराएं लगायी गयी हैं वे साबित नहीं की जा सकी तो क्या वे छोड़ दिये जायेंगे ?

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI ANAND SHARMA): I will request let us not go into the trial aspect. This is about

SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA: I am seeking a clarification from the Minister उसके तहत आपके डिपार्टमेंट से जो सस्पेंशन होगा वह भी कहीं बिदड्रा तो नहीं हो जायेगा । आपने पहले कहा कि चेयरमैन के पास 9बी का ऐसा अधिकार था जिसके तहत उसकी नौकरी ली जा सकती थी (व्यवधान) पर वह कोर्ट ने ले लिया । अब अगर कोर्ट से इन एक्यूज्ड को छोड़ देंगे और 509 और 354 साबित नहीं होगा तब इनके सस्पेंशन का क्या होगा, मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं ।

श्री राजेश पायलट : मैं माननीय सदस्य के जज्बात को समझ रहा हूं और आप यकीन रखें कि हमारी जो धारणा है और ये जो जज्बात हैं ये भी उसी लाइन पर है । हम सख्त से सख्त कदम उठायेंगे । अब क्या लीगल कदम उठ पायेंगे... (व्यवधान)

श्री वीरेन्द्र वर्मा : सख्त से सख्त और जल्दी से जल्दी ।

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI ANAND SHARMA): What the Member is asking is: Is there any provision in the DTC Rules to take action against erring officials, even if they are not convicted by a court of law, if the management is convinced that they have committed gross indiscipline — that too, in view of the fact, as stated by you, that the Court has taken away the powers?

AN HON. MEMBER: Can the appointing authority have the right to dismiss also?

SHRI RAJESH PILOT: Mr. Vice-Chairman, Sir, the court is the appealing stage, the court will be the later stage. First when we are clear, and we know that this has been committed and the Government must react and the strictest possible and deterrent punishment should be given, I think we will fulfil the feeling which is agitating the hon. Members' minds. To dismiss there has to be a departmental inquiry. There is a procedure. We have suspended him. The inquiry is being instituted. At the moment what I can say is that I have sensed the feelings of the honourable House and the hon Members that it must be the most strict and most early action against the culprits. At this juncture, I would once again assure you, Sir, that we will carry on putting in our best efforts to improve this vital service to the capital and we will put in our best efforts in both ways which I have mentioned—administratively and to iculcate the culture of decency and good behaviour with commuters in the DTC. Our best efforts will continue and I hope we will achieve good results.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE— (Contd.)

Notification of the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue)

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI ANAND SHARMA): Mr. Jacob will now lay on the Table a copy of the Ministry of Finance (Revenue Department) Notification. Mr. Jacob

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRI M. M. JACOB): Sir, on behalf of Shri B. K. Gadhvi, I beg to lay on the Table a copy (in English and Hindi)

of the Minisrty of Finance (Department of Revenue) Notification No. 242|88-Central Excises, dated the 17th August, 1988, exempting liquid paraffin of I. P. grade, when cleared in bulk form from the whole of duty of excise, subject to the condition that such liquid paraffin is manufactured from feed stock, namely, refrigeration oil, on which duty of excise or additional duty of customs, as the case may be, has already been paid, together with an Explanatory Memorandum thereon.

[Placed in Library. *See* No. LT—6408/88].

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRI ANAND SHARMA): The House now stands adjourned till tomorrow, Thursday, the 18th August, 1988, 11 o'clock.

The House then adjourned at nineteen minutes past seven of the clock till eleven of the clock on Thursday, the 18th August, 1988.